लिये ग्रामीण क्षेत्र एवं रोजगार मंत्रालय द्वारा स्वतंत्र मान्यता प्राप्त संस्थाओं/संगठनों के माध्यम से इन स्कीमों के समय-समय पर सहवर्ती मूल्यांकन किये जाते हैं।

Review of State Plans

275. DR. MANMOHAN SINGH- Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have reviewed the State-wise progress of State Plans during the Eighth Five Year Plan period;

(b) how does performance of resource mobilisation by the States compare with the Plan targets; and

(c) whether there has been a shortfall; if so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRIMATI RATNAMALA DE-HARESHWAR SAVANOOR): (a) to (c) Yes, Sir. The State-wise progress of State Plans is reviewed in the Annual Plan discussions at various levels, includ-I ing at the level of Dy. Chairman and | Chief Ministers/Governors. The State-i wise performance of resource mobilisa-! tion as against the projected resources for ! the Eighth Fvie Year Plan is given in the enclosed Statement *(See below).* Though the nature and extent of shortfall in resource mobilisation varies from State to I State, analysis has revealed that the shortfalls have been mainly in the balance from the current revenues, net contributions of State public enterprises and in the utilisation of external assistance.

Statement

Performance of States in Mobilisation of Resources during the Eighth Plan

(Rs. crore at 1991-92 prices)

States	Projected Resources	Anticipated Resources
(A) Non Special Category States		
Andhra Pradesh	10500	10371
Bihar	13000	5111
Goa	761	677
Gujarat	11500	9654
Haryana	5700	3762
Karnataka	12300	11155
Kerala	5460	6052
Madhya Pradesh	11100	8891
Maharashtra	18520	20608
Orissa	10000	4944
Punjab	6570	4234
Rajasthan	11500	8636
Tamilnadu	10200	11203
Uttar Pradesh	21000	14604
West Bengal	9760	6390
Total (A)	157871	126291

States	Projected Resources	Anticipated Resources
(B) Special Category States		
Arunachal Pradesh	1155	1422
Assam	4662	3308
Himachal Pradesh	2502	2084
Jammu & Kashmir	4000	2280
Manipur	979	809
Meghalaya	1029	791
Mizoram	763	699
Nagaland	844	608
Sikkim	550	'474
Tripura	1130	932
Total (B)	17614	13408
Grand Total (A+B)	175485	139699

Note : (1) Anticiptated resosurces have been worked out on the basis of actuals for 1992-93, 1993-94, 1994-95, pre actuals for 1995-96 and iatest estimates for 1996-97.

(2) Figures are exclusive of Area Programmes.

Reduction in the Ninth Five Year Plan Outlays

276. SHRI NARENDRA MOHAN: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Planning Commission is considering a massive reduction in the Ninth Five Year Plan outlays for different sectors, to contain the fiscal deficit at 4%;

(b) if so, what are the areas where this reduction would be effected;

(c) whether there would be adequate budgetary support for the Education sector, especially when Primary Education is going to be made a fundamental right; and

(d) by when the country will have 100% literacy amongst the school going children?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRIMATI RATNAMALA DE-HARESHWAR SAVANOOR): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) The budgetary support that would be provided by the Planning Commission for education sector will keep in view the importance of this sector and will depend on the central share in overall budgetary support to the Ninth Plan and also on what is provided in the Central Budget by way of budgetary support for each Annual Plan.

(d) Keeping in view the declaration of education as an aspect of fundamental human right to life efforts will be made to make the nation, fully literate by the year 2005.

Involvement of States in Framing of Employment Policies

277. DR. B.B. DUTTA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state: